

Proposed Agenda — Background and Process

A question has been raised regarding why an ordination proposal that was submitted by one of the conferences was not included on the proposed agenda.

The following summarizes the process that was followed:

1. **Agenda items were solicited in accordance with the NPUC Bylaws.**
In preparation for the 30th North Pacific Union Conference Constituency Session, the Agenda Committee solicited proposed agenda items from the local conference executive committees and other entities identified in the Bylaws.
2. **All submitted proposals were distributed for review and comment.**
The Agenda Committee sent all proposals that had been submitted to each local conference executive committee/Board of Directors and to the Walla Walla University Board of Trustees for their review and comment.
3. **The Agenda Committee reviewed the proposals and the responses received.**
Following the review and comment period, the Agenda Committee considered the submitted proposals together with the comments received and developed its recommendations for the proposed agenda. No other conference recommended the item to be placed on the agenda.
4. **Ordination was considered as part of the process.**
The question of ordination was not overlooked. It was discussed by the Agenda Committee in connection with the development of the proposed agenda. After consideration, the committee believed that the matter was more appropriately an issue for consideration by the General Conference rather than the NPUC constituency.
5. **The Agenda Committee did not include an ordination proposal in its recommended agenda.**
After its review and discussion, the Agenda Committee forwarded its recommended agenda to the NPUC Executive Committee without an ordination proposal as an agenda item.
6. **The Presidents' Council reviewed the Agenda Committee's recommendations.**
Prior to the meeting of the NPUC Executive Committee, the Presidents' Council reviewed the recommendations of the Agenda Committee and voted to recommend the proposed agenda to the Executive Committee.
7. **The Executive Committee reviewed the Agenda Committee's recommendations.**
As provided in the Bylaws, the proposed agenda and the recommendations of the Agenda Committee were presented to the Executive Committee for review. All agenda proposals that had been received, including the ordination proposal, were provided to the Executive Committee as background information supporting the Agenda Committee's recommendations.

After deliberation, the Executive Committee approved the proposed agenda that did not include the ordination proposal.
8. **The Executive Committee took separate action regarding the ordination issue.**
Although the Executive Committee approved an agenda without the ordination proposal, it did act on the underlying issue. The Executive Committee voted to send a request to the officers of the General Conference asking that the matter be considered at the General Conference level.
9. **The proposed agenda was then communicated to the delegates.**
The materials provided in preparation for the August 23, 2026, Constituency Session reflected the agenda that resulted from that process.